



**SYMMETRIC WIDEBAND FIVE PORT  
REFLECTOMETER FOR MICROWAVE-  
IMAGING-BASED BRAIN INJURY DIAGNOSIS**

by

**TOUFIQ MD HOSSAIN  
(1630812190)**

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Science (Communication Engineering)

**School of Computer and Communication Engineering  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2018

## DECLARATION OF THESIS

Author's Full Name : TOUFIQ MD HOSSAIN  
Title : SYMMETRIC WIDEBAND FIVE PORT REFLECTOMETER FOR MICROWAVE-IMAGING-BASED BRAIN INJURY DIAGNOSIS  
Date of Birth : 15 February 1991  
Academic Session : 2016/2017

I hereby declare that this thesis becomes the property of Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) and to be placed at the library of UniMAP. This thesis is classified as:

- CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1997)\*
- RESTRICTED** (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)\*
- OPEN ACCESS** I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access (Full Text)

I, the author, give permission to reproduce this thesis in whole or in part for the purpose of research or academic exchange only (except during the period of \_\_\_\_\_ years, if so requested above)

Certified by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
**SIGNATURE**

BH 0075184

\_\_\_\_\_  
**(NEW IC NO. /PASSPORT NO.)**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
**SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR**

ASSOC. PROFESSOR IR. DR. MOHD FAIZAL JAMLOS

\_\_\_\_\_  
**NAME OF SUPERVISOR**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**NOTES :** \* If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with the period and reasons for confidentiality or restriction. Replace thesis with dissertation (MSc by Mixed Mode) or with report (coursework)

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

To my Lord Almighty Allah: I want to express my eternal profound gratitude for blessing me with uncountable bounties each and every moment of my life.

To my forever encouraging and always the enthusiastic father, Mohammad Hossain Ali: I would like to express my love and respect. Without his support, I could not have anything in my life.

To my beloved and caring mother: I would like to express my gratitude for her persistent moral and emotional support.

Moreover, I would like to thank my supervisor Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd Faizal Jamlos for helping throughout this research work. He has always steered me in the right the direction. This degree would be merely impossible to bring into the light, without his support.

I also want to acknowledge the kind and friendly support of my co-supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Soh Ping Jack.

Finally, I want to thank all the members of ACE (Advanced Communication Engineering Centre) assistance to this work.

©This item is protected by original copyright

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>DECLARATION OF THESIS</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Background	2
1.2.1 Microwave Imaging (MWI): An Alternative Brain Imaging Technology	2
1.2.2 Frequency Criterion of Reflectometer involved in brain MWI	4
1.3 Problem Statement	5
1.4 Thesis Objectives	7
1.5 Scope of Work	7
1.6 List of Contributions	9
1.7 Thesis Outline	10
<b>CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 The significance of Early Diagnosis of Brain Injury	14
2.3 Brain Imaging Techniques: State of The Art and Their Limitations	15

2.4	Microwave Imaging (MWI) for Brain Injury Diagnosis	16
2.5	Radar-Based Imaging (RBI)	20
2.5.1	Introduction	20
2.5.2	Delay and Sum (DAS) Beamformer	20
2.5.3	Use of VNA in RBI	22
2.5.4	Frequency Ranges in RBI: An Essence	23
2.5.5	Functional Alternatives to VNA for RBI	24
2.6	Six Port Reflectometer (SPR)	28
2.6.1	Advantages of SPR	29
2.6.2	Theory of SPR and Related Works	30
2.7	Five Port Reflectometer (FPR)	42
2.7.1	Advantages of FPR over SPR	43
2.7.2	Theory of FPR and Related Works:	44
2.8	Different Types of Metamaterial	55
2.9	Summary of Reported Reflectometers in the Range of 1-4 GHz	58
<b>CHAPTER 3 : METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>60</b>
3.1	Introduction	60
3.2	Flow Chart	60
3.3	FPR Designs and Configuration	64
3.3.1	Metamaterial Based Single Ring FPR design and Optimization	64
3.3.1.1	Design of Single Ring FPR	64
3.3.1.2	Design of Split Ring Resonator (SRR)- Metamaterial	70
3.3.1.3	Extraction of relative material parameters of metamaterial	72
3.3.2	Non-Shifted Configuration: Single Compensating Tier Based FPR	74
3.3.3	Non-Shifted Configuration: Double Compensating Tier Based FPR	77

3.3.4	Error Function (EF)	80
3.3.5	Partially Shifted Design	81
3.3.6	Fully Shifted design without Tapered Inter-Tier Links	83
3.3.7	Use of Tapered Inter-Tier Links	84
3.3.8	Measurement of Size of the Reflectometer	86
3.3.9	Miniaturization of Final Geometry	88
3.3.10	Fabrication and Measurement	90
3.4	Summary	92
<b>CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>		<b>93</b>
4.1	Introduction	93
4.2	Metamaterial-Based Single Ring FPR	93
4.2.1	Results of the SNG SRR Metamaterial Unit Cell	93
4.2.2	Overall Results of FPR with SRR Meta-Array at Ground Plane	95
4.2.3	Summary of Metamaterial-based FPR	106
4.3	Non-Shifted Designs	106
4.3.1	FPR with Single Compensating Tier and Matching Sections	106
4.3.2	FPR with Double Compensating Tier and Matching Sections	107
4.4	Partially Shifted Design	109
4.5	Fully Shifted Design without Taper Transmission Line	112
4.6	Fully Shifted Design with Taper Transmission Line	114
4.7	Compact Fully Shifted Design with Taper Transmission Line	115
4.7.1	Logarithmic Magnitude of Reflection Coefficient of Each Port	117
4.7.2	Linear Magnitudes of Reflection Coefficient of Each Port	119
4.7.3	Logarithmic Magnitudes of Transmission Coefficients with Different Ports as Output	124
4.7.3.1	Transmission Coefficient Output (dB) at Port 1:	124

4.7.3.2	Transmission Coefficient Output (dB) at Port 2:	125
4.7.3.3	Transmission Coefficient Output (dB) at Port 3:	126
4.7.3.4	Transmission Coefficient Output (dB) at Port 4:	126
4.7.3.5	Transmission Coefficient Output (dB) at Port 5:	127
4.7.4	Linear Magnitudes of Transmission Coefficient with Different Ports as Output	128
4.7.4.1	Transmission Coefficient Output (Linear) at Port 1:	129
4.7.4.2	Transmission Coefficient Output (Linear) at Port 2:	132
4.7.4.3	Transmission Coefficient Output (Linear) at Port 3:	135
4.7.4.4	Transmission Coefficient Output (Linear) at Port 4:	138
4.7.4.5	Transmission Coefficient Output (Linear) at Port 5:	141
4.7.5	Phase of Transmission Coefficients with Different Ports as Output	144
4.7.5.1	Phase Characteristics Output at Port 1:	145
4.7.5.2	Phase Characteristics Output at Port 2:	147
4.7.5.3	Phase Characteristics Output at Port 3:	148
4.7.5.4	Phase Characteristics Output at Port 4:	150
4.7.5.5	Phase Characteristics Output at Port 5:	152
4.7.5.6	Phase Characteristics at a Glance	154
4.7.6	Summary	155
<b>CHAPTER 5 :</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>156</b>
5.1	Conclusion	156
5.2	Future Work	158
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>159</b>

©This item is protected by original copyright



## LIST OF TABLES

NO.		PAGE
Table 2.1:	Summary of different reflectometers with bandwidth and size	58
Table 3.1:	Dimension of the single ring FPR without metamaterial	68
Table 3.2:	Parameter dimension of the ring SRR meta-atom	71
Table 3.3:	Parameter dimension of the single ring FPR with metamaterial	73
Table 3.4:	Parameter dimension of single compensating tier based non-shifted FPR	76
Table 3.5:	Design parameters of different optimizations of non-shifted double tier based FPR	78
Table 3.6:	Parameter list of the double tier partially shifted design	83
Table 3.7:	Width values of the tapered inter-tier links	86
Table 4.1:	Simulated results of FPR with and without metamaterial for 1 GHz design frequency	98
Table 4.2:	Fractional bandwidth (<-10 dB) characteristics of the proposed metamaterial-based FPR	100
Table 4.3:	Measured and simulated magnitude of reflection coefficients of different ports of proposed metamaterial-based FPR	102
Table 4.4:	Linear magnitudes of transmission coefficients of proposed metamaterial-based FPR	103
Table 4.5:	Simulated and measured phase characteristics of proposed metamaterial-based FPR	105
Table 4.6:	Comparison of different non-shifted designs	109

Table 4.7:	Demonstration of different physical angular displacement for inter-port transmission signals in partially shifted design with respect to non-shifted design	111
Table 4.8:	Demonstration of different physical angular displacement for inter-port transmission signals in fully shifted design with respect to partially shifted design	114
Table 4.9:	Comparison of fractional bandwidths for different shifted designs	116
Table 4.10:	Comparative overview of the frequency bands and fractional bandwidths ( $BW_{-20\text{ dB}}$ ) for different ports	119
Table 4.11:	Simulated and measured resonances of compact fully shifted design with taper transmission line	121
Table 4.12:	Logarithmic and linear magnitude values for resonances of each port	122
Table 4.13:	Linear magnitude of transmission coefficients of port 1.	130
Table 4.14:	Linear magnitude of transmission coefficients of port 2	133
Table 4.15:	Linear magnitude of transmission coefficients of port 3	136
Table 4.16:	Linear magnitude of transmission coefficients of port 4	139
Table 4.17:	Linear magnitude of transmission coefficients of port 5	143
Table 4.18:	Phase characteristics of transmission coefficients related to port 1	146
Table 4.19:	Phase characteristics of transmission coefficients related to port 2	148
Table 4.20:	Phase characteristics of transmission coefficients related to port 3	150
Table 4.21:	Phase characteristics of transmission coefficients related to port 4	151
Table 4.22:	Phase characteristics of transmission coefficients related to port 5	153

## LIST OF FIGURES

NO.		PAGE
Figure 1.1:	Radar-based MWI system using symmetric FPR. (scope of the work is marked in red color).	9
Figure 2.1:	Ischemic brain Stroke (National Heart Lung and Blood Insitute (NIH), 2017).	13
Figure 2.2:	Hemorrhagic stroke (National Heart Lung and Blood Insitute (NIH), 2017).	14
Figure 2.3:	Permittivity for skin and muscle (high water content) and fat (low water content tissue) (E. C. Fear, 2005).	17
Figure 2.4:	Variation of conductivity with frequency for skin, muscle (high water content) and fat (low water content tissue) (E. C. Fear, 2005).	17
Figure 2.5:	Different types of MWI (a) passive approach (b) hybrid approach (c) active approach. (Fear, Hagness, Meaney, Okoniewski, & Stuchly, 2002).	18
Figure 2.6:	The principle of synthetic focusing (E. C. Fear, 2005).	22
Figure 2.7:	Use of VNA in RBI (a) (B. J. Mohammed et al., 2012) (b) (Beadaa J. Mohammed et al., 2014) (c)(S. Mustafa et al., 2013).	23
Figure 2.8:	Cascaded bridged-T attenuator based microwave reflectometer (Choi et al., 2005).	26
Figure 2.9:	Radar-based MWI prototype without VNA (Ünal et al., 2011).	26
Figure 2.10:	Alternatives of VNA in RBI of brain (a) Agilent N7081A (Ahmed Toaha Mobashsher et al., 2014a) (b) SDR (Jayaseelan Marimuthu et al., 2016).	27
Figure 2.11:	Incident and reflected wave on a transmission line.	30

Figure 2.12: Six port reflectometer (a) General configuration (G.F. Engen, 1977b) (b) Signal flow diagram of (a) (Kamel Haddadi & Lasri, 2012).	30
Figure 2.13: Optimum location for $q_3$ , $q_4$ , and $q_5$ in the complex plane.	33
Figure 2.14: Dual six port reflectometer in (Moyer, 1983).	33
Figure 2.15: Six port ring junction in (S. Judah et al., 1987).	34
Figure 2.16: Symmetric six fold ring junction-based SPR(a) (Yeo et al., 2000) (b) (Chen et al., 2005).	35
Figure 2.17: SPR using lumped reflectors and transmission line (Hesselbarth et al., 1997).	36
Figure 2.18: CPW coupler based SPR (Ji Jun Yao & Yeo, 2008):(a) CPW coupler with $180^\circ$ phase inverter ( $9 \text{ cm} \times 6 \text{ cm}$ ), (b) SPR with couplers (A, B, C D) shown in (a).	37
Figure 2.19: q-point distribution of SPR of (Staszek et al., 2013a).	39
Figure 2.20: SPR of (Staszek et al., 2016) (a) Schematic Diagram (b) layout.	39
Figure 2.21: SPR designed for RBI (a) (Bialkowski et al., 2006; Seman & Bialkowski, 2006, 2007) (b) (Bialkowski et al., 2007).	41
Figure 2.22: (a) Branch-line coupler used in (Shukor et al., 2015) (b) SPR configuration using branch-line coupler in (a).	42
Figure 2.23: Simple FPR (or five port ring junction).	46
Figure 2.24: Six port measurement system using five port symmetric junction and one directional coupler (Riblet & Hansson, 1981).	47
Figure 2.25: Equivalent transmission line model for three consecutive ports $k - 1$ , $k$ , $k + 1$ (Yeo et al., 1989).	48
Figure 2.26: Matched symmetric five port reflectometer using internal matching (de Ronde, 1982).	49

Figure 2.27: Matched symmetric five port reflectometer (generalized compensating network) (Dong Il Kim et al., 1984) using internal matching.	50
Figure 2.28: Symmetric FPR with matching sections and generalized compensating network (Dong Il Kim et al., 1984).	50
Figure 2.29: Equivalent circuit of FPR with matching and compensating network (Dong Il Kim et al., 1984).	51
Figure 2.30: FPR with shifted inter-ring links (Yeo & Choong, 2001).	51
Figure 2.31: FPR in (Sfar et al., 2011).	52
Figure 2.32: Moisture content measurement system developed using FPR (Yee, Abbas, Jusoh, Yeow, & Meng, 2011a).	52
Figure 2.33: SPR with five port ring and directional coupler (Arab et al., 2016).	53
Figure 2.34: Enhanced superstrate FPR (C.Y. Lee et al., 2016).	54
Figure 2.35: FPR for Breast tumor detection system (Chia Yew Lee et al., 2017).	55
Figure 2.36: Split Ring Resonator.	56
Figure 2.37: Different SRRs described in (Song-Hua Liu et al., 2010).	56
Figure 2.38: Equivalent circuit of circular SRR in Figure 2.36.	57
Figure 3.1: Flowchart of the research methodology: part 1.	61
Figure 3.2: Flowchart of the research methodology: part 2.	62
Figure 3.3: Flowchart of the research methodology: part 3.	63
Figure 3.4: Single ring junction FPR.	65
Figure 3.5: Single ring junction symmetric FPR.	67
Figure 3.6: Designed single ring FPR, a) ADS schematic b) ADS layout.	69
Figure 3.7: 2D layout of the Proposed circular SRR.	70

Figure 3.8: Simulation setup for extraction of SRR characteristics.	72
Figure 3.9: ADS layout for metamaterial-based FPR.	74
Figure 3.10: Description of compensating network with matching.	75
Figure 3.11: Double tier compensating network-based FPR using two matching sections.	77
Figure 3.12: Example of goal preparation for optimization.	79
Figure 3.13: Optimization schematic of the compensating network.	80
Figure 3.14: Design layout of FPR with 36° shifted three matching sections with parameter description (a).full view (b) definition of the curved parts (c) definition of the inter-tier links.	82
Figure 3.15: Design layout of FPR with 36° shifted three matching sections with shifted inter-tier links. (a) full view (b) detailed view of shifted inter-tier link.	84
Figure 3.16: Design layout of FPR with 36° shifted three matching sections with tapered shifted inter-tier links. (a) full view (b) detailed view of tapered shifted inter-tier link.	85
Figure 3.17: Measurement of distance to the end of the arm of the FPR from ADS layout.	87
Figure 3.18: Technique for compact geometry design maintaining same electrical length.	89
Figure 3.19: Distance to the end of arms from the center length of the miniaturized FPR.	90
Figure 3.20: VNA used for the measurement.	90
Figure 3.21: Fabricated FPR (a) front view (b) rear view of first prototype. Second prototype: (c) without SMA connector (d) with SMA connector.	91

Figure 4.1: Permittivity characteristics for different arrays of the proposed SRR metamaterial.	94
Figure 4.2: (a) Proposed SRR in this research (b) The equivalent circuit of the SRR (designed according to (Saha & Siddiqui, 2011)).	95
Figure 4.3: Basic FPR with 5 symmetrical arms placed with an angle of $72^\circ$ between each other.	96
Figure 4.4: Simulated results of the FPR with theoretical dimension and proposed SNG SRR metamaterial-based FPR.	97
Figure 4.5: Measured S-parameters (dB) of the metamaterial-based FPR: (a) reflection coefficients (b) transmission coefficient.	99
Figure 4.6: Simulated and measured linear magnitude of the reflection coefficients in different ports.	101
Figure 4.7: Simulated and measured linear magnitudes of the transmission coefficients of proposed metamaterial-based FPR.	104
Figure 4.8: Simulated and measured phase characteristics of the proposed metamaterial-based FPR.	105
Figure 4.9: Simulated S-parameters of non-shifted FPR with single tier compensating network along with two matching sections: descriptive shaded zone view of (a) $BW_{-10\text{ dB}}$ (b) $BW_{-20\text{ dB}}$ .	107
Figure 4.10: Parametric simulated results indicating the $BW - 10\text{ dB}$ of the reflection coefficient for different non-shifted design with double tier compensating network.	108
Figure 4.11: Simulated S-parameters of partially shifted configuration: (a) dB (b) linear magnitude.	110
Figure 4.12: Simulated S-parameters of the fully shifted design without taper transmission line: (a) dB (b) linear magnitude.	113

Figure 4.13: S-parameters of the fully shifted design with inter-tier taper transmission line: (a) dB (b) linear magnitude.	115
Figure 4.14: Comparison of the bandwidth of different shifted designs.	117
Figure 4.15: Reflection coefficients (dB): simulated vs different measurement ports: (a) port 1 (b) port 2 (c) port 3 (d)port 4 (e) port 5.	118
Figure 4.16: Reflection coefficients (linear): simulated vs different measurement ports: (a) port 1 (b) port 2 (c) port 3 (d) port 4 (e) port 5.	120
Figure 4.17: (a) Error between simulated and measured linear magnitude of reflection coefficients (b) mean absolute deviation of errors.	123
Figure 4.18: Transmission coefficients between port 1 and: (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	125
Figure 4.19: Transmission coefficients between port 2 and (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	125
Figure 4.20: Transmission coefficient between port 3 and : (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	126
Figure 4.21: Transmission coefficient between port 4 and: (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance.	127
Figure 4.22: Transmission coefficient between port 5 and : (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	127
Figure 4.23: Transmission coefficient (linear) between port 1 and: (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	129



Figure 4.24: (a) Error between simulated and measured linear magnitude of transmission coefficients (b) mean absolute deviation of errors related to port 1.	131
Figure 4.25: Transmission coefficient (linear) between port 2 and: (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	132
Figure 4.26: (a) Error between simulated and measured linear magnitude of transmission coefficients (b) mean absolute deviation of errors related to port 2.	134
Figure 4.27: Transmission coefficient (linear) between port 3 and : (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	135
Figure 4.28: (a) Error between simulated and measured linear magnitude of transmission coefficients (b) mean absolute deviation of errors related to port 3.	137
Figure 4.29: Transmission coefficient (linear) between port 4 and : (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	138
Figure 4.30: (a) Error between simulated and measured linear magnitude of transmission coefficients (b) mean absolute deviation of errors related to port 4.	141
Figure 4.31: Transmission coefficient (linear) between port 5 and : (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	142
Figure 4.32: (a) Error between simulated and measured linear magnitude of transmission coefficients (b) mean absolute deviation of errors related to port 5.	144

Figure 4.33: Phase of transmission coefficient between port 1 and: (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	145
Figure 4.34: Phase of transmission coefficient between port 2 and: (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	147
Figure 4.35: Phase of transmission coefficient between port 3 and: (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	149
Figure 4.36: Phase of transmission coefficient between port 4 and: (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	151
Figure 4.37: Phase of transmission coefficient between port 5 and: (a) ports in $\Theta$ angular distance (first group) (b) ports in $2\Theta$ angular distance (second group).	153
Figure 4.38: Phase difference between different transmission coefficients.	154

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AUT	antenna under test
BW	bandwidth
CT	computed tomography
DAS	delay and sum (imaging algorithm)
DMAS	delay multiply and sum (imaging algorithm)
DGS	defected ground substrate
DSPNA	dual six port network analyzer
DSRP	dual port six port reflectometer
DUT	device under test
EF	error function
EIT	electrical impedance tomography
ENG	epsilon negative
FCC	Federal Communications Commission
FDTD	finite difference time domain
FPR	five port reflectometer
ICH	intracranial hemorrhage
IF	intermediate frequency
IFFT	inverse fast fourier transformation
LO	local oscillators
LOS	line of sight
MIS	magnetic induction spectroscopy
MIST	microwave space time
MNG	mu negative
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
MWI	micro-wave imaging
RBI	radar-based imaging
SNG	single negative
SPR	six port reflectometer
SRR	split ring resonator
TCD	transcranial doppler
UWB	ultra wide-band
VNA	vector network analyzer
WB	wide-band

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\epsilon_r$	Relative Permittivity
$\sigma$	Conductivity
$c$	The speed of light ( $3 \times 10^8 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ )
$\lambda$	Free space wavelength
$i'_k$	Eigen mode excitation current of $k^{\text{th}}$ mode for five port reflectometer
$C$	Capacitance
$R$	Resistance
$L$	Inductance
$v$	Average speed of propagation of wave in brain tissue
$f_s$	Sampling frequency
$\epsilon_{eff}$	Effective permittivity
$\lambda_g$	Guided Wavelength
$Y$	Admittance
$f_c$	Resonance frequency
$BW_{-20 \text{ dB}}$	Fractional bandwidth less than -20 dB
$BW_{-10 \text{ dB}}$	Fractional bandwidth less than -10 dB

# LUASJALUR SIMETRI LIMA LIANG REFLEKTOMETER UNTUK DIAGNOSIS KECEDEeraan OTAK BERASASKAN PENGIMEJAN GELOMBANG MIKRO

## ABSTRAK

Kecederaan otak dianggap sebagai salah satu penyebab penting untuk kematian di seluruh dunia dengan lebih daripada 15 juta orang mengalami serangan strok otak setiap tahun, menurut Pertubuhan Kesihatan Sedunia (WHO). Keterbatasan teknik konvensional pengimejan kepala seperti MRI dan scan CT telah ditunjukkan didalam tesis di mana ciri diagnosis mudah alih dan cepat tidak dapat dilakukan. Pengimejan berasaskan radar (RBI) ditangani sebagai penyelesaian yang berpotensi kerana keberkesanan dan kebolehannya untuk diagnosis utama kecederaan otak. Walau bagaimanapun, struktur yang besar dan kos penganalisis rangkaian vektor (VNA) yang tinggi menghadkan potensi RBI. Lima liang reflektometer (FPR) mempunyai potensi untuk menggantikan VNA. Dua prototaip FPR telah dicadangkan dalam tesis ini. Prototaip pertama melibatkan satu jajaran metamaterial negatif (SNG) yang terletak pada bahagian tanah satu cincin tunggal FPR, manakala yang kedua melibatkan rangkaian penggantian dua peringkat tambahan pada cincin tengah pertama. Dalam prototaip pertama, cincin tunggal FPR direka berdasarkan parameter teoretikal yang disepadukan dengan jajaran metamaterial SNG pada satah tanah yang telah dioptimumkan untuk mendapatkan jalur lebar yang lebih besar. Ia diperhatikan bahawa ketelusan berkesan substrat berubah disebabkan pengaruh metamaterial SNG yang akhirnya mengubah impedans talian penghantaran FPR di bahagian depan substrat. Jajaran metamaterial meningkatkan prestasi keseluruhan cincin tunggal FPR dengan peningkatan sebanyak 65.62% pecahan jalur lebar ( $BW_{-10\text{ dB}}$ ) pada jalur pertama dan 76.23% pada jalur kedua berbanding dengan reka bentuk tanpa jajaran metamaterial. Prototaip pertama mempunyai zon operasi dwi-jalur yang beralih dari 0.93 GHz ke 2.19 GHz dan dari 3.27 GHz hingga 4.49 GHz. Prototaip kedua terdiri daripada rangkaian dua peringkat dengan garisan penghantaran antara peringkat dan pepadanan berbilang bahagian pada setiap lengan. Dalam evolusi prototaip kedua, garisan-garisan penghantaran antara peringkat beralih sebanyak  $36^\circ$  (yang merupakan separuh nilai faktorisasi jarak sudut antara-liang  $72^\circ$ ) dalam beberapa langkah mengoptimalkan, iaitu, a) tidak beralih b) beralih sebahagian dan c) reka bentuk beralih sepenuhnya. Reka bentuk beralih sepenuhnya yang mempunyai  $36^\circ$  alihan antara peringkat dan satu lagi  $36^\circ$  alihan lengan telah menghasilkan panjang elektrik tambahan yang dilalui oleh isyarat transmisi antara-liang untuk meningkatkan jalur lebar sehingga 88.04% (dari 1.004 GHz hingga 2.583 GHz). Di samping pencapaian jalur lebar, kekompakan FPR yang dicadangkan disumbangkan oleh garis melengkung di bahagian padanan luar yang membolehkan pengurangan panjang sebanyak 43.09% dan lebar sebanyak 43.12% berbanding dengan reka bentuk yang tidak kompak. Kedua-dua prototaip telah direka dan diukur. Perbezaan antara keputusan simulasi dan diukur dinilai dengan menggunakan sisihan mutlak min. 88.04% jalur lebar dari cadangan reka bentuk beralih sepenuhnya FPR adalah jalur lebar tertinggi di antara literatur yang berpotensi membawa kepada ketepatan tertinggi diagnosis kecederaan otak yang berasaskan pengimejan gelombang mikro.

# SYMMETRIC WIDEBAND FIVE PORT REFLECTOMETER FOR MICROWAVE-IMAGING-BASED BRAIN INJURY DIAGNOSIS

## ABSTRACT

Brain injury is considered as one of the vital reasons for death worldwide with more than 15 million people suffer from brain stroke attack each year, according to World Health Organization (WHO). The limitations of conventional head imaging techniques such as MRI and CT-scan have been pointed out in the thesis where a portable and prompt diagnosis features are not made possible. Radar-based imaging (RBI) is addressed as a potential solution due to its effectiveness and aptness for a primary diagnosis of brain injury. However, the bulky structure and high-cost of vector network analyzer (VNA) limit the RBI potential. Five port reflectometer (FPR) has potential to substitute VNA. Two prototypes of FPR have been proposed in this thesis. First prototype involves a single negative (SNG) metamaterial array located at the ground of single ring FPR, whereas the second one involves double tier compensating network in additional to the first central ring. In the first prototype, the single ring FPR is designed based on the theoretical parameters integrated with SNG metamaterial array at the ground plane which has been optimized to obtain a larger bandwidth. It is observed that the effective permittivity of the substrate is changed due to the influence of SNG metamaterial which eventually changed the characteristic impedance of the transmission lines of the FPR at the front side of the substrate. The metamaterial array enhances the overall performance of single ring FPR with an increment of 65.62% fractional bandwidth (BW<sub>-10 dB</sub>) in the first band and 76.23% in the second band as compared to the design without metamaterial array. The first prototype has a dual-band operating zone extending from 0.93 GHz to 2.19 GHz and from 3.27 GHz to 4.49 GHz. The second prototype consists of double tier networks with inter-tier transmission lines and multi-section matching at each of arms. In the evolution of the second prototype, inter-tier transmission lines are shifted by 36° (which is half factorized value of inter-port angular distance of 72°) in several optimizing steps, namely, a) non-shifted b) partially shifted and c) fully shifted design. Fully shifted design which has 36° shifted inter-tier and another 36° shifted arms has created additional electrical length traversed by inter-port transmission signals to enhance the bandwidth up to 88.04% (from 1.004 GHz to 2.583 GHz). In addition of bandwidth achievement, such compactness of proposed FPR is contributed by the curved lines at the outer matching sections which enable a reduction of 43.09% in length and 43.12% in width compared to the non-compact design. Both prototypes have been fabricated and measured. Discrepancies between simulated and measured results are assessed using mean absolute deviation. The 88.04% bandwidth of the proposed fully shifted FPR is the highest bandwidth among literatures which potentially leads to a higher accuracy of microwave imaging-based brain injury diagnosis.

# CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Introduction

Brain injury, a medical emergency is one of the major causes of death and physical and mental disability worldwide. It can occur from external and internal force suddenly forces the brain, causing some organ or the whole body to malfunction owing to the damage of the part of the brain controlling that limb or organ. Sometimes it may cause death to the affected person if the injury is severe.

Among other brain injuries, each year 2.5 people among 10,000 people are affected by intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) alone each year. About 44% of those affected die within a month. As per the statement of World Health Organization (WHO), each year about 15 million people suffer from brain stroke attack. Among them, 5 million of them die while 5 million of them go to permanent disability (B. Mohammed, Abbosh, Henin, & Sharpe, 2012).

A stroke occurs when a blood vessel within the brain bursts or swell due to the external force or internal disease. This hampers the adequate oxygen supply to the brain tissues, causing the brain cells to die and consecutively failure of brain function. Therefore, rapid diagnosis of brain injury is needed to recover the affected patient completely.

## **1.2 Research Background**

To-the-date there are sensitive technologies for head imaging like computed tomography (CT) scan and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for primary diagnosis of brain injuries. These technologies are bulky, immobile and expensive.

Moreover, MRI technology made compulsory for the subject (patient) to lay down on a table, which can invoke fear of being in narrow space (Claustrophobia). Three-quarter of the affected patients do not get proper affordable medical imaging according to WHO (Ahmed Toaha Mobashsher, 2016). Moreover, heavy machineries used in MRI and CT scan retard the portability of these technologies and paramedic teams cannot carry these heavy machineries to the patient on-the-spot for early diagnosis. Therefore, it is imperative to facilitate a new non-invasive, non-ionizing, low-cost alternative to these technologies, which can be affordable to the rural clinics and carried out by ambulance to ensure early diagnosis.

### **1.2.1 Microwave Imaging (MWI): An Alternative Brain Imaging Technology**

Researchers have proposed a technique called microwave imaging (MWI), as an alternative on-the-spot detection system for stroke and brain tumor. Among other advantages of MWI include being non-invasive, the capability to focus the energy, wide range of frequencies, less expensive and portable features (Ahmed Toaha Mobashsher, 2016; Zubaida Abdul Sattar, 2012). MWI has been successfully implemented in breast tumor detection (Fear et al., 2013; Fear, Meaney, & Stuchly, 2003) and more recently in head injury detection (D Ireland & Bialkowski, 2011a; A. T. Mobashsher, Nguyen, & Abbosh, 2013; Ahmed Toaha Mobashsher, 2016; Ahmed Toaha Mobashsher & Abbosh,



2016; B. J. Mohammed, Abbosh, Ireland, & Bialkowski, 2012; S. Mustafa, Mohammed, & Abbosh, 2013).

Blood has different dielectric property than brain tissue, accumulation of blood in hemorrhagic or ischemic condition creates a dielectric contrast among the bleeding affected area and surrounding area. The dielectric contrast is the basic property that creates a difference in the transmitted microwave signals, carrying information of the stroke in MWI-based brain injury diagnosis system.

There are different types of MWI techniques which include passive, hybrid and active approaches (Xu Li, Davis, Hagness, Van Der Weide, & Van Veen, 2004). Only active approach has been reported for head injury diagnosis. There are two types of active MWI, namely microwave tomography (MT) and wideband (WB) radar-based imaging (RBI). Different algorithm has been proposed for RBI, which include delay and sum (DAS) beamformer, delay multiply and sum (DMAS) beamformer (Lim, Nhung, Li, & Thang, 2008), coherence weighted beamformer and microwave space time (MIST) beamformer (Bond, Li, Hagness, & Van Veen, 2003). DAS algorithm is the basis of the enormous mainstream of RBI.

In RBI, the head is illuminated with microwave pulse. The dielectric contrast between the normal and tumor tissue or the stroke zone generates backscattered signals which are then collected through the receivers at microwave frequencies. The RBI uses delay and sum (DAS) or confocal beamformer which is based on a time-shift algorithm to collect the backscattered energy from a particular synthetic focal point within the head. The measurements are carried out in the frequency domain and then the data are converted into time domain backscattered signal by inverse fast Fourier transformation